

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

High-temperature series expansion studies of mixed spin-1/2-spin-S Ising models

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1984 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 17 3389 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/17/17/016)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 30/05/2010 at 18:16

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## COMMENT

# High-temperature series expansion studies of mixed spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ -spin-S Ising models

B Y Yousif<sup>†</sup> and R G Bowers

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Liverpool, PO Box 147, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK

Received 16 July 1984

Abstract. Mixed spin Ising models have less translational symmetry than their 'single spin' counterparts and are well adapted for the study of a certain type of ferrimagnetism. In this article the high-temperature series expansion work of Schofield and Bowers—who studied mixed spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ -spin-1 Ising models—is generalised by replacing the spin-1 objects by arbitrary spin-S ones. The new series are used to investigate the spin dependence or independence of critical parameters in a novel setting. There is no evidence to suggest that the exponents studied are spin dependent.

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this comment is to present extensions of the work of Schofield and Bowers (1981) on mixed spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ -spin-1 Ising models<sup>‡</sup>. We have generalised their calculations replacing the spin-1 objects by arbitrary spin-S ones. This has allowed us to investigate the spin dependence or independence of parameters describing critical behaviour in a new setting. Mixed spin Ising models have less translational symmetry than their 'single spin' counterparts and are well adapted for the study of a certain type of ferrimagnetism (Néel 1948).

The reduced Hamiltonian of our model takes the form

$$\mathcal{H} = K \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i s_j + L_{\mathbf{A}} \sum_{i} \sigma_i + L_{\mathbf{B}} \sum_{j} s_j.$$
(1)

The underlying lattice is loose packed and the sites of the A sublattice are occupied by 'spins'  $\sigma_i$  of magnitude  $\frac{1}{2}$  whilst those of the alternate B sublattice are occupied by 'spins'  $s_j$  of magnitude S. The  $\sigma_i$  take the values  $\pm \frac{1}{2}$  and the  $s_j$  the values -S,  $-S+1,\ldots,S$  where S has one of the usual integral or odd half-integral values. The first summation in (1) involves all pairs of nearest-neighbour sites in the lattice. The second and third summations involve all sites of A and B respectively. The quantities  $K, L_A, L_B$ —measured in units of kT—are, respectively, an interaction constant and the magnetic fields on the A and B sublattices. If K > 0, the situation is potentially ferromagnetic whilst, if K < 0, the situation is potentially ferrimagnetic. The symmetry (Schofield and Bowers 1981) between ferromagnet in a uniform field and ferrimagnet

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> Present address: Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Basrah University, Basrah, Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> The mixtures discussed here are of a *non-random* two sublattice type and not of the random type which is of much current interest.

in a staggered field still applies. Uniform field ferromagnetic critical behaviour is thus studied. It is easy to interpret this ferrimagnetically if required.

### 2. The Brout expansion

In order to generalise the series expansions of Schofield and Bowers (1981) using Brout's (1959, 1960) technique, it is necessary to recalculate the graph cumulants. This is a laborious but straightforward procedure (Yousif 1983). The diagrams which enter the new calculations remain as before; no other aspects of the calculations are altered. (Many new diagrams do appear if the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  sublattice is replaced by a general spin-S'sublattice—diagrams articulated at a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  vertex have zero cumulant. Thus (1) allows us to reach maximum order with the given diagrams.)

The series expansions for the (reduced) initial susceptibility  $\chi$  and the zero-field specific heat C may be written in the forms

$$\chi = (11/24) \sum b_n(S) K^n,$$
(2)

and

$$C = \sum c_n(S)K^n \qquad (n \text{ even}). \tag{3}$$

**Table 1.** Zero-field susceptibility coefficients for the sq, sc and BCC lattices with X = S(S+1).

SQ

$$\begin{split} b_0(S) &= (1/11)(4X+3) \\ b_1(S) &= 8X/11 \\ b_2(S) &= (X/165)(68X+39) \\ b_3(S) &= (2X/33)(10X-1) \\ b_4(S) &= (X/13\,860)(4460X^2+1721X-177) \\ b_5(S) &= (X/6930)(2890X^2-657X+51) \\ b_6(S) &= (X/831\,600)(179\,168X^3+39\,268X^2-10\,871X+726) \\ b_7(S) &= (X/207\,900)(54\,726X^3-19\,414X^2+2964X-195) \end{split}$$

SC

$$\begin{split} b_0(S) &= (1/11)(4X+3) \\ b_1(S) &= 12X/11 \\ b_2(S) &= (3X/110)(36X+23) \\ b_3(S) &= (2X/55)(67X-4) \\ b_4(S) &= (X/9240)(19\ 708X^2+10\ 749X-615) \\ b_5(S) &= (X/4620)(23\ 306X^2-2964X+141) \\ b_6(S) &= (X/1663\ 200)(7266\ 168X^3+3372\ 858X^2-438\ 192X+18\ 153) \\ b_7(S) &= (X/207\ 900)(2102\ 787X^3-412\ 533X^2+36\ 126X-1521) \end{split}$$

BCC

 $b_0(S) = (1/11)(4X + 3)$   $b_1(S) = 16X/11$   $b_2(S) = (2X/165)(148X + 99)$   $b_3(S) = (2X/165)(516X - 22)$   $b_4(S) = (X/6930)(52\ 148X^2 + 31\ 159X - 1305)$   $b_5(S) = (X/1155)(29\ 018X^2 - 2775X + 92)$   $b_6(S) = (X/415\ 800)(12\ 482\ 576X^3 + 6589\ 456X^2 - 645\ 199X + 19\ 971)$  $b_7(S) = (X/207\ 900)(20\ 370\ 104X^3 - 3075\ 616X^2 + 202\ 329X - 5871)$  In table 1, coefficients  $b_0(S)$ ,  $b_1(S)$ , ...,  $b_7(S)$  are given for the sq, sc, and BCC lattices. In table 2, coefficients  $c_2(S)$ ,  $c_4(S)$ , ...,  $c_{10}(S)$  are given for the same three lattices.

**Table 2.** Zero-field specific heat coefficients for the SQ, SC and BCC lattices with X = S(S+1).

$$\begin{split} & \text{SQ} \\ c_2(S) &= X/6 \\ c_4(S) &= (X/24)(3X-1) \\ c_6(S) &= (X/6048)(272X^2-216X+51) \\ c_8(S) &= (X/259\ 200)(3857X^3-4038X^2+2043X-390) \\ c_{10}(S) &= (X/5322\ 240)(28\ 568X^4-31\ 544X^3+20\ 099X^2-7815X+1285) \end{split}$$

	$\hat{}$	
э	L	

 $\begin{aligned} c_4(S) &= (X/80)(29X-8) \\ c_6(S) &= (X/4032)(2026X^2 - 759X + 141) \\ c_8(S) &= (X/172\ 800)(126\ 783X^3 - 58\ 532X^2 + 13\ 896X - 2028) \\ c_{10}(S) &= (X/3548\ 160)(3959\ 274X^4 - 2127\ 059X^3 + 595\ 155X^2 - 109\ 120X + 13\ 585) \end{aligned}$ 

BCC

 $c_2(S) = X/3$   $c_4(S) = (X/60)(73X - 11)$   $c_6(S) = (X/1512)(5146X^2 - 1443X + 138)$   $c_8(S) = (X/129\ 600)(1272\ 475X^3 - 469\ 350X^2 + 80\ 028X - 5871)$   $c_{10}(S) = (X/2661\ 120)(78\ 680\ 700X^4 - 34\ 400\ 282X^3 + 7566\ 392X^2 - 974\ 540X + 13\ 585)$ 

Certain checks have been applied to our results. For  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ , all the results given here reduce to those known for the standard spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  Ising model (Domb and Sykes 1957, Domb 1960). For S = 1 nearly all our results agree with those of Schofield and Bowers (1981). Where there is disagreement, we feel that the present results are correct. Our calculations correct small errors in  $c_{10}(1)$  for the sQ and BCC lattices. (On the BCC lattice there are two free-energy diagrams (Yousif 1983) missing from the list given by Schofield (1980).) The coefficients  $b_4(1)$  and  $b_6(1)$  given by Schofield and Bowers are also slightly wrong for all three lattices. We have traced the origin of the error to a failure to include all correlation lines in a few highly symmetric graphs.

#### 3. Analysis of the series

 $c_2(S) = X/4$ 

We have used the methods of series analysis employed by Schofield and Bowers (1981). To fix attention we have studied the cases  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ , 1,  $\frac{3}{2}$ , 5, 10, 100. For  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ , which is the standard Ising model, our results can be compared with others which use much longer series (e.g. Domb 1974). For S = 1 our results can be compared with those obtained, using some slightly different coefficients, by Schofield and Bowers (1981). For the other spin values our results are the first available. We give details of the analysis only for S = 5 and the BCC lattice. All other cases are dealt with very briefly to save space.

In table 3 we give roots and residues of Padé approximants to the logarithmitic derivative of the susceptibility  $\chi$  (for S = 5 and the BCC lattice). These lead us to make

**Table 3.** Estimates of  $K_{\rm C}$  and  $\gamma$  (in parenthesis) from Padé approximants to  $D \ln \chi$  for the BCC lattice and S = 5.

D	1	2	3	4
2 3 4 5	0.104 88 (1.611) 0.095 14 (1.231) 0.095 49 (1.247) 0.096 29 (1.298)	0.093 11 (1.133) 0.095 48 (1.246) 0.094 85 (1.224)	0.096 13 (1.288) 0.096 53 (1.315)	0.096 48 (1.311)

the preliminary estimates

$$K_{\rm C} = 0.0957 \pm 0.0008, \qquad \gamma = 1.270 \pm 0.045.$$
 (4)

We have formed Padé approximants to  $\chi^{1/\gamma}$  and  $(K_C - K)D \ln \chi$  in the usual way for various values of  $\gamma$  and  $K_C$  in the ranges (4). Results for typical values are shown in tables 4 and 5. Overall these calculations lead us to sharpen our estimates somewhat. Our final Padé estimates are

$$K_{\rm C} = 0.0958 \pm 0.0004, \qquad \gamma = 1.26 \pm 0.02.$$
 (5)

**Table 4.** Estimates of  $K_C$  for the BCC lattice and S = 5 from Padé approximants to  $\chi^{1/\gamma}$  with  $\gamma = 1.26$ .

D	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	0.026 72	0.378 04	0.023 91	0.394 14	0.023 18	0.401 53
2	0.094 40	0.096 22	0.095 47	0.095 87	0.095 74	
3	0.096 54	0.095 69	0.095 73	0.095 78		
4	0.094 42	0.095 73	0.096 16			
5	0.095 46	0.095 77				
6	0.095 85					

**Table 5.** Estimates of  $\gamma$  for the BCC lattice and S = 5 from Padé approximants to  $(K_C - K)D \ln \chi$  evaluated at  $K = K_C = 0.0957$ .

	1	2	3	4	5
1	1.354	1.230	1.265	1.259	1.249
3 4 5	1.257 1.260 1.252	1.262 1.258	1.258	1.272	

We now turn our attention to the ratio method using the quantities  $s_n = (b_n/b_{n-2})^{1/2}$ and alternate pairs of points in the fashion of Schofield and Bowers (1981). We compute sequences

$$l_n = \frac{1}{2} [(n+2)s_{n+2} - ns_n], \tag{6}$$

$$g_n = n(K_{\rm C}s_n - 1), \tag{7}$$

and the extrapolants  $l'_n$  and  $g'_n$  obtained by replacing  $s_n$  in (6) by  $l_n$  and  $g_n$  respectively. The sequences  $l_n$  and  $l'_n$ , which provide estimates for  $K_C^{-1}$ , are given (for S = 5 and the BCC lattice) in table 6. These lead us to estimate

$$K_{\rm C} = 0.0957 \pm 0.0003 \tag{8}$$

**Table 6.** The sequences  $l_n$  and  $l'_n$  for the susceptibility  $\chi$  of the BCC lattice with S = 5.

n	l <sub>n</sub>	l'n	
2	10.260	10.486	
3	10.395	10.416	
4	10.373		
5	10.403		

<b>Table 7.</b> The sequences $g_n$ and $g'_n$ for the susceptibility	1-
ity $\chi$ of the BCC lattice with $S = 5$ using $K_{\rm C} = 0.0957$	7.

n	gn	g'n	
2	0.325	0.253	
3	0.259	0.233	
4	0.289	0.245	
5	0.248	0.217	
6	0.275		
7	0.239		

in good agreement with the Padé result in (5). In table 7 we use the central value of  $K_{\rm C}$  from (8) to obtain sequences  $g_n$  and  $g'_n$  which provide estimates for  $\gamma - 1$ . We have investigated such sequences for other values of  $K_{\rm C}$  and are inclined to feel that

$$\gamma = 1.24 \pm 0.03$$
 (9)

represents these results fairly well. The agreement with the Padé result in (5) is really quite good.

Our final estimates of  $K_c$  and  $\gamma$  reflect both the Padé and the ratio results already presented. They also reflect a search for consistency between the two methods. In

	S	K <sub>C</sub>	γ	α
всс	$\frac{1}{2}$	$0.628 \pm 0.002$	$1.22 \pm 0.03$	$0.10 \pm 0.03$
	1	$0.376 \pm 0.001$	$1.23 \pm 0.02$	$0.13 \pm 0.03$
	3 2	$0.273 \pm 0.001$	$1.24 \pm 0.01$	$0.14 \pm 0.04$
	5	$0.0957 \pm 0.0001$	$1.24 \pm 0.02$	$0.14 \pm 0.03$
	10	$0.04997 \pm 0.00012$	$1.24 \pm 0.02$	$0.14 \pm 0.04$
	100	$0.00522 \pm 0.00003$	$1.24\pm0.04$	$0.16\pm0.06$
SC	$\frac{1}{2}$	$0.885 \pm 0.017$	$1.23 \pm 0.12$	$0.06 \pm 0.20$
	1	$0.527 \pm 0.006$	$1.24 \pm 0.12$	$0.08 \pm 0.10$
	$\frac{3}{2}$	$0.381 \pm 0.003$	$1.24 \pm 0.09$	$0.08 \pm 0.08$
	5	$0.1336 \pm 0.0007$	$1.22 \pm 0.05$	$0.09 \pm 0.06$
	10	$0.0698 \pm 0.0004$	$1.23 \pm 0.06$	$0.09 \pm 0.07$
	100	$0.00729 \pm 0.00005$	$1.24\pm0.06$	$0.10 \pm 0.08$
sq	$\frac{1}{2}$	$1.764 \pm 0.070$	$1.75 \pm 0.45$	$0.1 \pm 0.6$
	1	$1.025 \pm 0.007$	$1.75 \pm 0.07$	$-0.2 \pm 0.3$
	3 2	$0.736 \pm 0.015$	$1.74 \pm 0.22$	$-0.2 \pm 0.4$
	5	$0.2564 \pm 0.0080$	$1.76 \pm 0.34$	$-0.2 \pm 0.4$
	10	$0.1336 \pm 0.0044$	$1.77 \pm 0.34$	$-0.2 \pm 0.4$
	100	$0.0140 \pm 0.0006$	$1.80\pm0.43$	$-0.1 \pm 0.6$

**Table 8.** Final estimation of  $K_C$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  for the BCC, SQ, and SC lattices with  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $1, \frac{3}{2}$ , 5, 10, and 100.

this search estimates obtained from one method are used as input to the other. Our final results are given in table 8. This table contains estimates of  $K_c$  and  $\gamma$  for all the spin values and lattices mentioned previously. In each case, the estimates have been obtained by a procedure very similar to that described above.

We now turn to the specific heat. For this series we estimate the critical exponent  $\alpha$  using the final estimate of  $K_{\rm C}$  obtained from the susceptibility. Since only even powers of K appear, the series is rather short. Padé analysis is not practical and we have to be content with applying (7) taking  $K^2$  as the variable and replacing  $s_n$  by  $r_n$ —the ratio of successive terms. Results obtained, using the central and extreme values of  $K_{\rm C}$  given in table 8 for S = 5 and the BCC lattice, are presented in table 9.

K <sub>c</sub>	0.0956	0.0957	0.0958
α2	0.991	0.996	1.000
$\alpha_3$	0.291	0.296	0.301
$\alpha_4$	0.155	0.161	0.168
$\alpha_5$	0.119	0.128	0.136

**Table 9.** Sequences  $\alpha_n = 1 + g_n$  for the specific heat C of the BCC lattice with S = 5.

These suggest that in this case,  $\alpha = 0.14 \pm 0.03$  and this is entered in table 8. Values of  $\alpha$  for other spin values and lattices, obtained by the same technique, also appear in this table. (These values must be treated very cautiously. They merely summarise the ranges of  $\alpha_4$  and  $\alpha_5$  which result from the given ranges for  $K_{C}$ .)

#### 4. Conclusion

From table 8 it is clear that, as expected, whilst  $K_C$  varies with lattice and spin there is no evidence that the critical exponents vary once the lattice dimension is fixed. The mixed spin models seem to share the same exponent values as their 'single spin' counterparts in agreement with the principle of extended spin independence.

## References

Brout R 1959 Phys. Rev. 115 824
— 1960 Phys. Rev. 118 1009
Domb C 1960 Adv. Phys. 9 149
— 1974 in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena vol 3, ed C Domb and M S Green (London: Academic)
Domb C and Sykes M F 1957 Proc. R. Soc. A 240 214
Néel L 1948 Ann. Phys., Paris 3 137
Schofield S L 1980 PhD Thesis University of Liverpool
Schofield S L and Bowers R G 1981 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 14 2163
Yousif B Y 1983 PhD Thesis University of Liverpool